## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

PHILIP L. TROPEANO, PETER TROPEANO, and CAROLYN PATTON,	) ) ) )
Plaintiffs,	)
v.	) CIVIL ACTION ) NO. 03-CV-12231-RGS
CHARLENE DORMAN,	)
BIANCA DORMAN,	)
LYDIA DORMAN,	)
TODD DORMAN,	)
T&N REALTY TRUST, and	)
CAPTAIN PARKER ARMS PARTNERSHIP,	)
Defendants.	) ) .)

## DEFENDANTS' MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE THE TESTIMONY OF <u>ATTORNEY FREDERICK CONROY</u>

The Defendants hereby move, *in limine*, that the Court exclude from evidence at trial the testimony of Attorney Frederick Conroy, a trial witness listed by Plaintiffs in the Joint Pre-Trial Statement (docket entry #97, at 2).

As grounds for this Motion, Defendants rely upon the accompanying Memorandum and state the following:

- 1. For nearly ten years, attorney Frederick Conroy represented Defendant Captain Parker Arms Partnership (the "Partnership") and its partners in all manner of issues relating to the Partnership.
- 2. This Court already disqualified Conroy from serving as Plaintiffs' attorney herein due to his conflict of interest (docket entry #11).

Dated: December 14, 2007

- 3. Conroy's testimony, if allowed, necessarily would concern information he learned from confidential communications taking place while Conroy served as counsel to the Partnership and its partners. As such, the attorney-client privilege prevents Conroy from divulging such information.
- 4. Furthermore, the Massachusetts Rules of Professional Conduct bar Conroy from using confidential information relating to his representation of the Partnership to its disadvantage or to the advantage of a third person.
- Notwithstanding the attorney-client privilege and Conroy's ethical obligations, 5. Conroy's testimony should be excluded because any non-privileged facts about which he could testify should be available from other witnesses who are available to testify about the very same matters.
- 6. Defendants first learned that Plaintiffs intend to call attorney Conroy as a trial witness on the morning of December 10, 2007, during preparation of the Joint Pre-Trial Statement (docket entry #97), while Defendants' counsel were consumed with trial preparation matters. This Motion has been made as soon as practicable thereafter.

WHEREFORE, the Defendants pray that their Motion be granted.

/s/ Sander A. Rikleen\_ Sander A. Rikleen – BBO# 420280

Christine M. O'Connor – BBO# 647535

EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP

111 Huntington Avenue Boston, Massachusetts 02199

Ph: 617·239·0100

Fx: 617.227.4420

Attorneys for the Defendants

## **LOCAL RULE 7.1(A)(2) CERTIFICATION**

On December 14+, 2007, I conferred with Thomas Ciampa, counsel for Plaintiffs, and attempted in good faith to resolve or narrow the issues raised in this motion. We were unable to reach agreement.

/s/ Christine M. O'Connor\_\_\_\_\_\_

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that this document filed through the ECF system pursuant to Local Rule 5.4 will be sent electronically to all other parties. /s/ Christine M. O'Connor